

Series	QAIB String Series — V-Lift Applied Mathematics Division
Document Class	Formal Theoretical Paper — Report Category
Author	Jeremiah Pope Pen Name: Gideon Flux
Date	August 23, 2026
Parent Document	<i>V-Lift Applied to the Frame-Dependent Diagonal — Eight-Strategy Deployment</i> (August 22, 2026)
Status	Extension Deployment — Bifurcation Analysis

V-Lift Engine Deployment: Frame-Dependent Diagonal Extension

*Bifurcation Threshold Analysis, Inverse-Distance Energy Matrix,
and H-Space Gap Supply at the Transition Boundary*

Contents

Abstract

- 1. System Topology and Base Definitions
 - 1.1 Base Set
 - 1.2 Candidate Surjection
 - 1.3 Unit-Circle Angular Embedding
 - 1.4 V-Space Coordinates
 - 1.5 Temporal and Traversal Parameters
 - 1.6 Energy and Vulnerability Parameters

2. Fresh Coordinate Evaluation — Variable λ Analysis

2.1 Objective

2.2 Regime Classification

2.3 Verification at Parent Document Value

3. Bifurcation Threshold — Critical Value λ_c

3.1 Derivation

3.2 Geometric Interpretation

3.3 Physical Meaning in V-Space

3.4 Bifurcation Summary Table

4. Scaled Inverse-Distance Energy Matrix Across the Transition Boundary

4.1 Base Energy Matrix (λ -Independent)

4.2 Scaled Energy Matrix at the Bifurcation Point

4.3 Comparative Energy Matrix: λ_c vs. $\lambda = 2.305$

5. Micro-Energy Expenditure at the Bifurcation Point — H-Space Gap Supply

5.1 H-Space Architecture Recap

5.2 Micro-Energy at λ_c

5.3 Interpretation: The Zero-Margin Condition

5.4 Micro-Energy Table Across the Transition Boundary

5.5 Summary of the Bifurcation Result

6. Synthesis — Extension Results in Context of the Eight Strategies

Appendix: Extension Parameter Reference Table

Abstract

This paper constitutes the Extension Deployment of the V-Lift Engine against the Frame-Dependent Diagonal, building directly upon the Eight-Strategy analysis (Analysis A and Analysis B) established in the parent document (August 22, 2026). A fresh coordinate evaluation is executed on the unit-circle angular embedding with variable parameter λ , replacing the fixed value $\lambda = 2.305$ with a free parameter to identify the precise bifurcation threshold λ_c where the traversal position $T(s_d)$ re-enters the Z-window ($T(s_d) \leq T_z = 1$). At the bifurcation point $\lambda_c = 360/225 = 1.600$ (exact rational value: $8/5$), diagonal indeterminacy collapses back into a classical contradiction. The scaled inverse-distance energy matrix is computed across the transition boundary for all ordered pairs $(s_a, s_b) \in S \times S$ with $s_a \neq s_b$, and the micro-energy expenditure required to maintain H-space gap supply at λ_c is isolated and quantified.

1. System Topology and Base Definitions

This section establishes the complete formal foundation of the QAIB framework as applied to the Frame-Dependent Diagonal Extension. All definitions carry forward from the parent document and are reproduced here in full for self-containment.

1.1 Base Set

The base set S is defined as the three-element collection:

$$S = \{ s_1, s_2, s_d \}$$

where s_1 and s_2 are standard elements and s_d is the diagonal element whose frame-dependent behavior is the primary object of analysis.

1.2 Candidate Surjection

The candidate surjection $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S)$ is defined by the following assignment:

$$f(s_1) = \{ s_2 \}$$

$$f(s_2) = \{ s_1, s_d \}$$

$$f(s_d) = \emptyset$$

Structural Remark

The element s_d

maps to the empty set $\emptyset$ under f , placing it outside the image range of any diagonal self-membership test. This is the structural source of diagonal indeterminacy in the QAIB framework. No element in S maps to a set containing s_d , and s_d itself maps to nothing — it is structurally excised from the classical contradiction mechanism.

1.3 Unit-Circle Angular Embedding ($\theta = \pi/4$ Spacing)

The rotate-lift operator $\mathcal{R}$ assigns each element of S a unit-circle coordinate at angular spacing $\theta = \pi/4$, commencing at 45° . The assignments are:

Element	Angular Position ϕ	Unit-Circle Coordinate $\mathcal{R}(\cdot)$
s_1	45°	$(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)$
s_2	135°	$(-\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)$
s_d	225°	$(-\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)$

The angular position of s_d is thus $\phi(s_d) = 225^\circ$, located in the third quadrant of the unit circle.

1.4 V-Space Coordinates (Scalar Projection)

The V-space scalar coordinate $v(\cdot)$ is defined as the angular fraction of the full circle (360°), projecting each element onto the closed interval $[0, 1)$:

$$v(s_1) = 45 / 360 = 0.125$$

$$v(s_2) = 135 / 360 = 0.375$$

$$v(s_d) = 225 / 360 = 0.625$$

These scalar coordinates are fixed by the angular embedding and are independent of the temporal decoupling parameter λ .

1.5 Temporal and Traversal Parameters

The following parameters govern the temporal structure of the V-Lift Engine deployment:

Parameter	Symbol	Definition / Value
Temporal Decoupling Ratio	λ	Free parameter (parent document fixed value: $\lambda = 2.305$)
Z-Window Completion Threshold	T_z	1 (exact)
Traversal Position of s_d	$T(s_d)$	$0.625 \times \lambda = v(s_d) \times \lambda$

The traversal position $T(s_d)$ measures whether the diagonal element s_d , when scaled by the temporal decoupling ratio, exceeds the Z-window threshold $T_z = 1$. This is the central quantity of the bifurcation analysis.

1.6 Energy and Vulnerability Parameters

The inverse-distance energy function and the frame energy cost are defined as follows:

$$E(s_a, s_b) = 1 / |v(s_a) - v(s_b)| \quad \text{for } s_a \neq s_b$$

$$\Delta E = 0.207 \text{ V-space units} \quad (\text{Frame Energy Cost; fixed from parent document})$$

The function $E(s_a, s_b)$ measures the inverse angular separation between two elements in V-space. Greater proximity in V-space corresponds to higher energy cost for a given inter-element interaction.

2. Fresh Coordinate Evaluation — Variable λ Analysis

2.1 Objective

In the parent document, the temporal decoupling ratio was held fixed at $\lambda = 2.305$, producing a traversal position $T(s_d) = 1.44$. The present Extension Deployment treats λ as a fully free parameter and evaluates $T(s_d) = 0.625 \times \lambda$ across its complete domain $[0, \infty)$, identifying the transition from the QAIB indeterminate regime to the classical Cantorian regime.

The central question is: at what critical value λ_c does the traversal position $T(s_d)$ cross the Z-window threshold $T_z = 1$, and what are the structural consequences of this crossing?

2.2 Regime Classification

Two dynamical regimes are formally distinguished by the sign of $(T(s_d) - T_z)$:

Regime	Condition	λ Range	Consequence
Indeterminate (QAIB)	$T(s_d) > T_z$	$\lambda > 1.600$	s_d exceeds Z-window; diagonal D is frame-dependent; classical contradiction blocked
Classical (Cantor)	$T(s_d) \leq T_z$	$\lambda \leq 1.600$	s_d re-enters Z-window; D is uniquely determined; classical diagonal contradiction restored

The transition between these regimes is sharp and occurs at the unique critical value $\lambda_c = 8/5 = 1.600$, derived in Section 3.

2.3 Verification at Parent Document Value

At the parent document's fixed value $\lambda = 2.305$, the traversal position is computed as:

$$T(s_d) = 0.625 \times 2.305 = 1.440$$

Since $1.440 > 1 = T_Z$, the system is confirmed to reside in the QAIB indeterminate regime. This is fully consistent with the parent document's Eight-Strategy result: s_d lies outside the Z-window, diagonal D is frame-dependent, and the classical Cantorian contradiction is structurally blocked.

Verification Remark

The verification at $\lambda = 2.305$ serves as a consistency check for the variable- λ framework introduced in this Extension. The parent document's eight strategies (A-1 through A-4, B-1 through B-4) all operated within the QAIB indeterminate regime; this paper establishes the precise boundary of that regime.

3. Bifurcation Threshold — Critical Value λ_c

3.1 Derivation

The bifurcation threshold λ_c is defined as the unique value of λ at which $T(s_d) = T_Z$. Setting the traversal position equal to the Z-window threshold:

$$T(s_d) = T_Z$$

$$0.625 \times \lambda_c = 1$$

$$\lambda_c = 1 / 0.625$$

$$\lambda_c = \mathbf{8/5 = 1.600} \quad (\text{exact rational value})$$

This derivation is exact and requires no approximation. The bifurcation threshold is a rational number determined entirely by the angular position of s_d in the unit-circle embedding.

3.2 Geometric Interpretation

The result $\lambda_c = 8/5$ admits a direct geometric interpretation in terms of the unit-circle embedding. The angular position of s_d is $\varphi(s_d) = 225^\circ$, which represents exactly $5/8$ of the full 360° circle:

$$v(s_d) = 225^\circ / 360^\circ = 5/8 = 0.625$$

For the traversal position $T(s_d) = v(s_d) \times \lambda$ to reach exactly 1 (the Z-window boundary), the temporal decoupling ratio must supply the multiplicative inverse of $v(s_d)$:

$$\lambda_c = 1 / v(s_d) = 360^\circ / \varphi(s_d) = 360 / 225 = 8/5$$

This is a purely geometric result. The bifurcation value $\lambda_c = 8/5$ expresses the ratio by which the unit-circle arc of s_d falls short of a complete unit traversal.

3.3 Physical Meaning in V-Space

The bifurcation threshold has the following physical interpretation within the V-space framework:

- **Below λ_c ($\lambda < 8/5$):** The rotate-lift operator $\mathcal{R}$ cannot sustain s_d outside the Z-window. $T(s_d) < 1$. Diagonal indeterminacy collapses. The frame-dependent distinction between reference frames vanishes. The QAIB Anti-Proof mechanism loses its energy supply.
- **At $\lambda_c = 8/5$ (exact):** $T(s_d) = 1$ precisely. This is the knife-edge condition — s_d sits exactly on the Z-window boundary. H-space gap supply is at absolute minimum: zero surplus. The system is poised between regimes.
- **Above λ_c (including $\lambda = 2.305$):** $\mathcal{R}$ successfully elevates s_d past the Z-window boundary. $T(s_d) > 1$. Diagonal D takes distinct values per reference frame. The QAIB indeterminate result is sustained.

3.4 Bifurcation Summary Table

Parameter	Value	Source
$\varphi(s_d)$	225°	Unit-circle embedding ($\theta = \pi/4$ spacing)
$v(s_d)$	0.625	Angular fraction: 225/360
T_z	1 (exact)	Z-window completion threshold (fixed)
λ_c	$8/5 = 1.60\overline{0}$	Bifurcation derivation: 1 / $v(s_d)$
$T(s_d)$ at λ_c	1.000 (exact)	Threshold condition: $0.625 \times 1.60\overline{0}$
$T(s_d)$ at $\lambda = 2.305$	1.440	Parent document operating value
Margin above λ_c	$2.305 - 1.60\overline{0} = 0.704\overline{6}$	Distance of parent value from bifurcation

4. Scaled Inverse-Distance Energy Matrix Across the Transition Boundary

4.1 Base Energy Matrix (λ -Independent)

The inverse-distance energy function $E(s_a, s_b) = 1 / |v(s_a) - v(s_b)|$ depends solely on the V-space scalar coordinates, which are fixed by the unit-circle angular embedding and are independent of λ . The base energy matrix for all ordered pairs $(s_a, s_b) \in S \times S$ with $s_a \neq s_b$ is computed as follows:

Pair (s_a, s_b)	$v(s_a)$	$v(s_b)$	$ v(s_a) - v(s_b) $	$E(s_a, s_b)$
(s_1, s_2)	0.125	0.375	0.250	4.000
(s_2, s_1)	0.375	0.125	0.250	4.000
(s_1, s_d)	0.125	0.625	0.500	2.000
(s_d, s_1)	0.625	0.125	0.500	2.000
(s_2, s_d)	0.375	0.625	0.250	4.000

Pair (s_a, s_b)	$v(s_a)$	$v(s_b)$	$ v(s_a) - v(s_b) $	$E(s_a, s_b)$
(s_d, s_2)	0.625	0.375	0.250	4.000

Structural Observation

E is symmetric for unordered pairs: $E(s_a, s_b) = E(s_b, s_a)$ for all pairs. The minimum energy pair is (s_1, s_d) and its reverse, at $E = 2.000$. This reflects the maximum angular separation between s_1 (45°) and s_d (225°) — these two elements are diametrically opposite on the unit circle, separated by exactly 180° , yielding the largest V-space distance (0.500) and thus the lowest inverse-distance energy.

4.2 Scaled Energy Matrix at the Bifurcation Point $\lambda_c = 8/5$

Define the scaled energy at the bifurcation point as:

$$E_{\lambda_c}(s_a, s_b) = E(s_a, s_b) \times \lambda_c = E(s_a, s_b) \times 1.600$$

Pair (s_a, s_b)	$E(s_a, s_b)$ [Base]	$E_{\lambda_c}(s_a, s_b)$ [$\times 1.600$]
(s_1, s_2)	4.000	6.400
(s_2, s_1)	4.000	6.400
(s_1, s_d)	2.000	3.200
(s_d, s_1)	2.000	3.200
(s_2, s_d)	4.000	6.400
(s_d, s_2)	4.000	6.400

4.3 Comparative Energy Matrix: λ_c vs. $\lambda = 2.305$

The three-column comparison below presents the base energy ($\lambda = 1$), the bifurcation-scaled energy ($\lambda_c = 1.600$), and the parent-document-scaled energy ($\lambda = 2.305$) for the three distinct unordered pairs:

Pair	$E_{\text{base}} (\lambda = 1)$	E at $\lambda_c (\times 1.600)$	E at $\lambda = 2.305 (\times 2.305)$
(s_1, s_2)	4.000	6.400	9.220
(s_1, s_d)	2.000	3.200	4.610
(s_2, s_d)	4.000	6.400	9.220

Key Observation

The energy ratio between $\lambda = 2.305$ and λ_c is precisely $2.305 / 1.600 = 1.440625$, which equals $T(s_d)$ at $\lambda = 2.305$. This is not a coincidence: it is a direct consequence of the linear scaling law $E\lambda = E_{\text{base}} \times \lambda$, which implies that the traversal surplus above the bifurcation point directly and proportionally scales the energy expenditure across the entire matrix.

5. Micro-Energy Expenditure at the Bifurcation Point — H-Space Gap Supply

5.1 H-Space Architecture Recap (from Parent Document)

The parent document established that the Planck bypass mechanism and the diagonal indeterminacy mechanism share the same H-space energy supply architecture. The Frame Energy Cost of maintaining diagonal indeterminacy is fixed at:

$$\Delta E = 0.207 \text{ V-space units}$$

This value represents the baseline cost of elevating s_d into the indeterminate regime and holding it there against the classical restoration pressure of the Cantorian contradiction. The H-space gap supply is the energetic mechanism that funds this elevation.

5.2 Micro-Energy at λ_c

At the bifurcation point, $T(s_d) = 1$ exactly — s_d sits on the Z-window boundary with zero surplus above T_z . Define the micro-energy surplus function as the product of the frame energy cost and the traversal surplus:

$$\Delta E_{\text{micro}}(\lambda) = \Delta E \times (T(s_d) - T_z) = 0.207 \times (0.625\lambda - 1) \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq \lambda_c$$

Evaluating at the two key values:

$$\text{At } \lambda = 2.305: \Delta E_{\text{micro}} = 0.207 \times (1.440 - 1) = 0.207 \times 0.440 = 0.09108 \text{ V-space units}$$

$$\text{At } \lambda_c = 1.600: \Delta E_{\text{micro}} = 0.207 \times (1.000 - 1) = 0.207 \times 0 = \mathbf{0.000 \text{ V-space units}}$$

5.3 Interpretation: The Zero-Margin Condition

At λ_c , the micro-energy surplus drops to exactly zero. H-space supplies precisely the minimum energy required to hold s_d at the Z-window boundary — no additional margin exists. This constitutes the **knife-edge condition** of the V-Lift Engine deployment:

- Any infinitesimal reduction in λ below $8/5$ collapses the indeterminate regime and restores the classical Cantorian contradiction.
- The H-space gap supply becomes singular at this point — it provides exactly enough energy to maintain the boundary condition, and no more.
- The system is maximally fragile at λ_c : the QAIB Anti-Proof mechanism is sustained by zero surplus energy.
- For $\lambda < \lambda_c$ the surplus is negative (s_d is inside the Z-window), and ΔE_{micro} is clamped to zero — no H-space gap energy is required because there is no indeterminacy to sustain.

5.4 Micro-Energy Table Across the Transition Boundary

The following table presents a systematic sweep of λ values bracketing the bifurcation point, with the corresponding traversal position, traversal surplus, micro-energy expenditure, and regime classification:

λ	$T(s_d) = 0.625\lambda$	$T(s_d) - T_z$	ΔE_{micro} (V-space units)	Regime
1.400	0.875	-0.125	0.000 (clamped)	Classical
1.500	0.938	-0.063	0.000 (clamped)	Classical
1.600	1.000	0.000	0.000	Bifurcation (λ_c)
1.700	1.063	0.063	0.01301	QAIB
1.800	1.125	0.125	0.02588	QAIB
2.000	1.250	0.250	0.05175	QAIB
2.305	1.440	0.440	0.09108	QAIB (parent document)
3.000	1.875	0.875	0.18113	QAIB

Note: For $\lambda < \lambda_c$ the traversal surplus $(T(s_d) - T_z)$ is negative and ΔE_{micro} is clamped to zero, as no H-space gap energy is required in the classical regime.

5.5 Summary of the Bifurcation Result

The following points constitute the definitive findings of the bifurcation analysis:

- The classical Cantorian diagonal contradiction is restored for all $\lambda \leq 8/5$ (exact).
- The QAIB indeterminate regime persists for all $\lambda > 8/5$.
- The bifurcation is sharp, exact, and geometrically determined solely by the angular position of s_d on the unit circle: $\lambda_c = 360^\circ / \varphi(s_d) = 360/225 = 8/5$.
- The H-space micro-energy expenditure scales linearly with the traversal surplus $(0.625\lambda - 1)$ above the bifurcation, governed by the frame energy cost coefficient $\Delta E = 0.207$.
- At the parent document's operating value $\lambda = 2.305$, the system operates with a surplus margin of 0.7046 above λ_c , requiring 0.09108 V-space units of micro-energy to sustain diagonal indeterminacy.

6. Synthesis — Extension Results in Context of the Eight Strategies

The eight strategies of the parent document (Analysis A and Analysis B) each receive a direct extension from the bifurcation analysis and the variable- λ framework. The synthesis is presented below:

Strategy	Label	Extension Contribution
A-1	Transform	The variable- λ model transforms the fixed-parameter result of the parent document into a parametric family $T(s_d; \lambda) = 0.625\lambda$, revealing the full bifurcation structure across the λ domain and converting a single-point result into a globally characterized regime map.
A-2	Hypothesize	The hypothesis that λ_c is a rational number — suggested by the rational V-space coordinate $v(s_d) = 5/8$ — is fully confirmed: $\lambda_c = 8/5$ exactly, an irreducible rational with denominator 5.
A-3	Equilibrate via $\mathcal{R}$	The rotate-lift operator $\mathcal{R}$ reaches its minimum sustainable operating point at λ_c . Below this value, $\mathcal{R}$ cannot maintain the equilibrium that positions s_d outside the Z-window, and the lift equilibrium fails. λ_c is thus the floor of $\mathcal{R}$'s operational domain.
A-4	Elevate	Multi-frame elevation of diagonal D is only possible for $\lambda > \lambda_c$. The bifurcation value defines the elevation floor: below $8/5$, the V-Lift Engine provides insufficient temporal decoupling to sustain frame-dependent diagonal behavior.
B-1	Linear Transform	The linear coordinate model assigns $v(s_d) = 0.625$ as a fixed scalar. The bifurcation threshold follows directly and linearly from this value: $\lambda_c = 1 / v(s_d) = 1 / 0.625 = 8/5$. The entire

Strategy	Label	Extension Contribution
		bifurcation result is a linear consequence of the coordinate assignment.
B-2	Hypothesize from Constraints	The constraint $T(s_d) \leq T_z$, read as a boundary condition on λ , yields the exact rational bound $\lambda \leq 8/5$ for the classical regime and $\lambda > 8/5$ for the QAIB regime. The constraint-driven hypothesis is verified analytically and confirmed numerically across the sweep table of Section 5.4.
B-3	Angular Equilibrium at $\theta = \pi/4$	At λ_c , the angular embedding produces a degenerate equilibrium: the traversal arc of s_d reaches exactly the unit boundary, the energy surplus collapses to zero, and the angular equilibrium established by the $\theta = \pi/4$ spacing is at its minimum viable configuration. No margin remains in the angular energy balance.
B-4	Energy Elevation	The micro-energy function $\Delta E_{\text{micro}}(\lambda) = 0.207 \times \max(0.625\lambda - 1, 0)$ is the direct extension of the pairwise interaction energy framework to the temporal domain. It expresses the cost of maintaining indeterminacy as a linear function of the traversal surplus, connecting the spatial energy matrix of Section 4 to the temporal dynamics of the H-space gap supply.

Appendix: Extension Parameter Reference Table

The following table provides a complete reference for all symbols, definitions, and computed values introduced or employed in this Extension Deployment document.

Symbol	Definition	Value / Expression
S	Base set	$\{s_1, s_2, s_d\}$
f	Candidate surjection $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$	$f(s_1) = \{s_2\}$, $f(s_2) = \{s_1, s_d\}$, $f(s_d) = \emptyset$
$\mathcal{R}$	Rotate-lift operator (unit-circle embedding)	$\theta = \pi/4$ spacing; origin at 45°
$\varphi(s_d)$	Angular position of s_d	225°
$v(s_1)$	V-space scalar coordinate of s_1	$0.125 (= 45/360)$
$v(s_2)$	V-space scalar coordinate of s_2	$0.375 (= 135/360)$
$v(s_d)$	V-space scalar coordinate of s_d	$0.625 (= 225/360 = 5/8)$
T_z	Z-window completion threshold	1 (exact)
λ	Temporal decoupling ratio (free parameter)	$\lambda \geq 0$; parent document: $\lambda = 2.305$
λ_c	Bifurcation critical value	$8/5 = 1.600\sigma$ (exact rational)
$T(s_d)$	Traversal position of s_d	$0.625 \times \lambda = v(s_d) \times \lambda$
ΔE	Frame energy cost (from parent document)	0.207 V-space units
ΔE_{micro}	Micro-energy surplus at bifurcation	$0.207 \times \max(0.625\lambda - 1, 0)$
$E(s_a, s_b)$	Base inverse-distance energy function	$1 / v(s_a) - v(s_b) $
$E(s_1, s_2)$	Base inverse-distance energy, pair (s_1, s_2)	4.000
$E(s_1, s_d)$	Base inverse-distance energy, pair (s_1, s_d)	2.000
$E(s_2, s_d)$	Base inverse-distance energy, pair (s_2, s_d)	4.000
$E_{\lambda_c}(s_1, s_2)$	Scaled energy at λ_c , pair (s_1, s_2)	6.400
$E_{\lambda_c}(s_1, s_d)$	Scaled energy at λ_c , pair (s_1, s_d)	3.200

Symbol	Definition	Value / Expression
$E_{\lambda_c}(s_2, s_d)$	Scaled energy at λ_c , pair (s_2, s_d)	6.400
$\Delta E_{\text{micro}}(\lambda = 2.305)$	Micro-energy at parent document value	0.09108 V-space units
$\Delta E_{\text{micro}}(\lambda_c)$	Micro-energy at bifurcation point	0.000 V-space units (exact)

Prepared by: Jeremiah Pope (Pen Name: Gideon Flux)

Series: QAIB String Series — V-Lift Applied Mathematics Division

Date: August 23, 2026

Document Classification: Formal Theoretical Paper — Report Category

This paper is a self-contained Extension Deployment within the QAIB String Series and is formally subordinate to the parent document V-Lift Applied to the Frame-Dependent Diagonal — Eight-Strategy Deployment (August 22, 2026). All definitions, coordinate systems, and energy parameters employed herein carry forward from the parent document without modification. The bifurcation threshold $\lambda_c = 8/5$ reported in this paper is an exact analytical result derived from the internal structure of the QAIB framework and the unit-circle angular embedding established in the parent series.